NATIONAL STATISTICS STATUS

National Statistics status means that official statistics meet the highest standards of trustworthiness, quality and public value.

All official statistics should comply with all aspects of the Code of Practice for Official Statistics. They are awarded National Statistics status following an assessment by the Authority’s regulatory arm. The Authority considers whether the statistics meet the highest standards of Code compliance, including the value they add to public decisions and debate.

It is a producer’s responsibility to maintain compliance with the standards expected of National Statistics, and to improve its statistics on a continuous basis. If a producer becomes concerned about whether its statistics are still meeting the appropriate standards, it should discuss its concerns with the Authority promptly. National Statistics status can be removed at any point when the highest standards are not maintained, and reinstated when standards are restored.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report presents the Northern Ireland House Condition Survey (NIHCS) 2016 preliminary findings. The NIHCS meets the Northern Ireland Housing Executive’s statutory responsibility under the 1981 Housing Order to ‘regularly examine housing conditions and need’. The preliminary findings relate to the dwelling stock as a whole and to dwelling unfitness. Findings in relation to fuel poverty, disrepair, Decent Homes, the Standard Assessment Procedure (SAP) the Housing Health and Safety Rating System (HHSRS), and household profiles will be available in the main NIHCS report, which will be published in early 2018.

2.0 IMPORTANT INFORMATION FOR USERS

2.1 Corrections to preliminary report

Summary

The original NIHCS 2016 preliminary report was published in March 2017, and gave the overall stock figure for 2016 as 740,000. Following publication the stock figure was corrected to 780,000. This report reflects the corrected stock figure, and replaces the original preliminary report which should no longer be used.

Why was the original 2016 stock figure estimated at 740,000?

The overall estimate of the housing stock in Northern Ireland is provided by the Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA). In late 2010 NISRA moved from using the Valuation and Lands Agency (VLA) database to using the Northern Ireland Pointer database which contains the common standard address for every property in Northern Ireland. This database is maintained by Land and Property Services (LPS). NISRA holds an up to date copy of this database and since 2011 has been undertaking analysis and quality assurance (QA) to create a preferred address sampling frame of domestic addresses for Northern Ireland. The QA of the database is a lengthy process which will take place over a number of years in preparation for the 2021 Census.

Part of the QA process involved checking the classification of domestic/non domestic properties and the removal of any non-domestic and non-eligible (i.e. non-viable dwellings which would not be brought back in to use) properties that were incorrectly recorded on the sample frame.

In February 2016, approximately 40,000 properties in Northern Ireland were identified as ‘null’ (unknown) on the domestic/non domestic variable and were therefore not eligible to be included in the sample frame for the HCS.
same month the sample for the 2016 HCS was drawn\(^2\). This led to an overall stock figure of 740,000 for Northern Ireland in 2016. Checks were completed to ensure that this total was correct and the view was that it was in line with the extensive ongoing QA of the domestic address database. The 2016 preliminary report was published in March 2017 and reported a total stock figure of 740,000 for Northern Ireland.

Why was the total stock figure corrected?

In June 2017 NIHE reviewed a NISRA Data Quality Report which indicated that approximately 40,000 properties identified as 'null' in February 2016 had been re-classified as domestic through a data matching exercise. Consequently, these 40,000 properties would have been eligible for inclusion in the sample frame for the 2016 HCS. Hence, the total stock figure for Northern Ireland for 2016 was actually 780,000.

What was the impact of the new stock figure (780,000)?

NISRA completed analysis of the 40,000 omitted dwellings to check how they varied from the overall stock. Checks were completed on known information such as council area, house type and capital values. NISRA concluded that the overall randomness of this group meant that they did not vary much from the total stock. Therefore there was no significant impact on the representativeness of the 2016 HCS sample.

How was the issue resolved?

The statistical weights were revised to take account of the new totals at area level and new analysis was undertaken. This report presents the corrected figures and shows that changes in proportions are minimal (in most cases produce a difference of less than 1%).

\(^2\) Detailed information about the sample is available in section 5 (NIHCS user guide)
2.2 Interpreting the data (comparisons with previous years)

Both stock numbers and proportions are included in the text tables for previous HCS years. Users should note that when making comparisons differences may be within confidence intervals and may not be statistically significant. Differences should be checked using the confidence interval table on p14.

In addition, users are advised to use 2011 HCS figures with some caution.

It could be that some 2011 HCS figures may have been affected by the move to the Pointer database in late 2010. Trend analysis shows 2011 having higher than expected figures in relation to vacancy, unfitness and rural areas. This is highlighted where appropriate in the text of the report. It may have been possible that a small number of the non-eligible dwellings on the Pointer database were included in the 2011 sample and were surveyed by surveyors (and were later removed from the database as part of NISRA’s QA process). The reason why non-eligible dwellings were surveyed as part of the HCS in 2011 may have been due to different definitions used by HCS surveyors when deciding to include a dwelling in the survey (based on certain identified physical structures), and by NISRA staff when deciding to remove a property from the Pointer database (based on a dwelling’s potential of being brought back into use).

As new and improved address information becomes available, the reliability of dwelling totals across the 11 council areas will become further enhanced.

3.0 KEY POINTS

Overall, there has been little change in the tenure breakdown of the Northern Ireland dwelling stock since 2011.

The vacancy rate was 3.7 per cent\(^3\) (28,470) in 2016, 7.2 per cent (54,700\(^4\)) in 2011 and 5.9 per cent (43,400) in 2009. As in previous years’ vacancy rates are highest in the private rented sector (7%), although this has reduced since 2011 (13%); possibly a reflection of growing demand for this sector.

---

\(^3\) As NIHCS figures are estimated, they are generally rounded. However, in the cases of some key figures which are small, percentages may be reported to the first decimal place.

\(^4\) This figure may be an over-estimate which is possibly due to the inclusion of non-eligible dwellings on the Pointer database in 2011.
The headline unfitness rate was estimated to be 2.1 per cent in 2016, and 4.6 per cent in 2011\textsuperscript{5}. The 2016 HCS confirms the trend of unfitness being associated with vacancy, with negligible levels of unfitness in social housing.

The continued increase in the proportion of dwellings in urban areas since 2009 (indeed since 2001) and the corresponding decrease in rural areas, signalling a direction of change (31% in rural areas in 2009 compared to 27% in rural areas in 2016).

4.0 PRELIMINARY FINDINGS

4.1 Northern Ireland’s dwelling stock and tenure

In 2016 Northern Ireland’s total dwelling stock was approximately 780,000.

Table 1: Tenure showing vacants by tenure 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tenure</th>
<th>Total Stock</th>
<th>Vacant</th>
<th>(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner occupied</td>
<td>511,920 (65.6%)</td>
<td>17,260 (60.6%)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private rented and Others</td>
<td>145,800 (18.7%)</td>
<td>9,820 (34.5%)</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Executive</td>
<td>85,540 (11.0%)</td>
<td>200 (0.7%)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Association</td>
<td>36,740 (4.7%)</td>
<td>1,190 (4.2%)</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>780,000 (100.0%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>28,470 (100.0%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>3.7</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Tenure excluding vacants 2006-2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied</td>
<td>468,900</td>
<td>66.5</td>
<td>461,800</td>
<td>62.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Rented and Others</td>
<td>80,900</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>124,600</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Executive</td>
<td>93,400</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>85,650</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housing Association</td>
<td>21,500</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>24,550</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>40,300</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>43,400</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>705,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>740,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{5} The quality assurance of the database after 2011 may have removed non-eligible dwellings that were surveyed in 2011 and therefore this figure should be treated with caution. In addition the difference is within confidence intervals (CI) and is not statistically significant.
Tables 1 and 2 show the following:

a. The proportion (63%) of owner occupied dwellings in 2016 is similar to 2011 (62%). The number of owner occupied dwellings in 2016 was estimated to be 495,000.

b. The proportion of private rented sector dwellings has also broadly remained the same as 2009 and 2011 at around 17 per cent. If vacant properties whose previous tenure was private rented are included, the proportion rises to 19 per cent. The number of occupied private rented sector dwellings in 2016 was estimated to be 136,000.

c. The percentage of occupied social dwellings (Housing Executive and Housing Associations dwellings combined) has remained similar between 2009 (15%), 2011 (15%) and 2016 (16%). In 2016 it was estimated that there were approximately 121,000 occupied social dwellings.

d. The vacancy rate was 3.7 per cent (28,000) in 2016, 7.2 per cent (54,700) in 2011, and 5.9 per cent (43,400) in 2009. As in previous House Condition Surveys, a high vacancy rate is particularly evident in the private rented sector where approximately 10,000 (6.7%) were vacant.

4.2 Dwelling age

Table 3: Northern Ireland’s Dwelling Stock by Age 2009 – 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Group</th>
<th>2009 Number</th>
<th>2009 %</th>
<th>2011 Number</th>
<th>2011 %</th>
<th>2016 Number</th>
<th>2016 %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre 1919</td>
<td>106,500</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>87,700</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>81,630</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1919 – 1944</td>
<td>78,200</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>68,100</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>67,600</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1945 – 1980</td>
<td>306,900</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>303,500</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>315,550</td>
<td>40.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1981 – 1990</td>
<td>86,300</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>94,500</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>99,500</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post 1990</td>
<td>162,100</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>206,200</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>215,720</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>740,000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>760,000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>780,000</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[This\] figure may be an over-estimate which is possibly due to the inclusion of non-eligible dwellings on the Pointer database in 2011 (see section 2). In addition the % difference is within confidence intervals (CI) and may not be statistically significant.

\[Bands\ 1945-1964\ and 1965-1980\ showed increases and decreases in the number of dwellings built over consecutive House Condition Surveys possibly due to minor statistical distortions which result from sample surveys, and/or the difficulties surveyors sometimes have in the field allocating dwellings to narrow age bands and/or validation of year of construction on the Pointer database. They have been combined here to produce more robust figures.

---

\[\]
Table 3 confirms the following:

a. The continuing decline in the proportion of the stock built before 1919 from; 14% in 2009 to 12% in 2011 to 11% in 2016. These changes do not appear to be statistically significant.

b. In addition, as in 2009 and 2011, there was a disproportionately high percentage of these older dwellings in the private rented sector (13.7% of all dwellings in this sector were built before 1919 compared to 11.3% for the owner-occupied sector). More than one-third (35%; 10,000) of all vacant properties were built before 1919.

c. The 2016 HCS estimates that approximately 28% (216,000) of the stock was post-1990 (includes new construction less demolitions, closures and conversions).

4.3 Dwelling location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Urban</strong></td>
<td>508,520 68.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Small Rural Settlement</strong></td>
<td>116,480 15.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120,030 16.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Isolated Rural</strong></td>
<td>115,000 15.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>108,270 14.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Rural</strong></td>
<td>231,480 31.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>230,300 30.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>740,000 100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>760,000 100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>780,000 100.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 2016 HCS highlights the following:

a. The continued increase in the proportion of dwellings in urban areas since 2009 (indeed since 2001). The proportion in 2009 was 69 per cent increasing to 70 per cent in 2011 and again to 73 per cent in 2016.

b. The corresponding decrease in rural areas, signalling a direction of change (31% in 2009 to 27% in 2016). A decline in small rural settlements to 13 per cent in 2016. Proportions in isolated rural areas were fairly similar at around 14 per cent. The number of isolated rural dwellings in 2016 was estimated to be 112,000.

---

8 Please note that the preliminary report provides information on urban and rural areas using the settlement type definition. However, the main report will provide an update of these figures using the eight-band classification definition not available at the time of this report.

9 This may reflect the inclusion of non-eligible dwellings in 2011 and should be treated with caution. Similarly, the total rural 2011 estimate should be treated with caution.

10 See footnote 9.
### 4.4 Dwelling unfitness

In 2016 there were an estimated 16,000 unfit dwellings in Northern Ireland, representing a headline rate of 2.1 per cent. This is similar to the unfitness rate in 2009 (2.4%). The apparent numerical and proportionate increase in unfitness in 2011 (4.6%; 35,000 dwellings: 28,000 of which were vacant) may have been partly due to the inclusion of non-eligible properties on the Pointer database in 2011 (See Section 2) and subsequently removed from the Pointer database 2011-2016.

**Table 5: Northern Ireland’s Dwelling Stock – unfitness rates by tenure 2009-2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied</td>
<td>4,400</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>4,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Rented and Others</td>
<td>2,700</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Housing</td>
<td>*&lt;1.0</td>
<td>*&lt;1.0</td>
<td>*&lt;1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>10,300</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>28,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>17,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>2.4</strong></td>
<td><strong>35,200</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6: Northern Ireland’s Dwelling Stock by Occupation – Unfitness by Tenure 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Occupied</th>
<th>Occupied Unfit</th>
<th>Vacant</th>
<th>Vacant Unfit</th>
<th>Total Unfit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied</td>
<td>494,660 (65.8%)</td>
<td>5,660 (1.1%)</td>
<td>17,260 (60.6%)</td>
<td>7,430 (43.0%)</td>
<td>13,090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Rented and Others</td>
<td>135,980 (18.1%)</td>
<td>2,180 (1.6%)</td>
<td>9,820 (34.5%)</td>
<td>1,100 (11.2%)</td>
<td>3,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Housing</td>
<td>120,890 (16.1%)</td>
<td>*&lt;1.0%</td>
<td>1,390 (4.9%)</td>
<td>*&lt;1.0%</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 shows that since 2009 the rate of unfitness has remained unchanged in the *occupied* owner occupied sector, private rented and social sectors. Table 6 shows that unfitness is associated with vacancy and has a slightly higher rate in occupied private sector.

a. As in earlier House Condition Surveys, unfitness is particularly associated with vacancy. The proportion of vacant dwellings recorded as unfit in 2016 was 29.9 per cent (approximately 9,000 properties).

b. Overall, the proportion of unfit dwellings which were vacant in 2016 (52%) was less than the 2009 proportion (59%)\(^{13}\). As would be expected and similar

---

\(^{11}\) A * symbol denotes if numbers were nil or negligible.

\(^{12}\) This appears to be an over-estimate and may reflect the non-eligible dwellings recorded on the Valuation and Lands Agency (VLA) database in 2011 before the move to the new Pointer database.

\(^{13}\) The proportion for 2011 appears to be high at 80% (number of unfit vacant as a proportion of total unfit dwellings). As in footnote 12 this may reflect the non-eligible dwellings recorded on the Valuation and Lands Agency (VLA) database in 2011 before the move to the new Pointer database.
to previous findings most unfit dwellings (8,600; 57%) were built before 1919. A further 16 per cent of unfit dwellings in 2016 were built between 1919 and 1944.
5.0 NIHCS USER GUIDE

The purpose of this guide is to help users to better understand the NIHCS statistics and to aid the interpretation of statistics.

5.1 Survey objectives


- To provide a comprehensive picture of the dwelling stock and its condition in 2016 for Northern Ireland and each of the 11 new District Councils: Belfast will be divided into four, giving a total of 14 areas;

- To facilitate a comparative analysis of housing conditions in Northern Ireland with other parts of the UK;

- To examine the association between dwelling conditions and the social and economic circumstances of households;

- To examine changes in the condition of the stock over time in terms of key Government measures: Decent Homes Standard and the Housing Health and Safety Rating System.

- To provide a reliable assessment of the energy efficiency of the stock and the level of Fuel Poverty in Northern Ireland on a comparable basis with the rest of the UK.

5.2 The NIHCS sample

The NIHCS is a survey based on a stratified random disproportionate sample of 3000 dwellings. The published data are estimates for Northern Ireland housing stock based on this sample. The sample design process, and the weighting and grossing process are carefully designed to ensure that the results are accurate and representative of the total housing stock.

Selecting sample size

Sample size was an important factor in the design of the NIHCS sample as it ultimately determines the level of geographical disaggregation for any analysis undertaken. User consultation highlighted the importance of having results at Council level (there are 11 councils in Northern Ireland). In order to produce accurate results at this level the sample needs to be of a sufficient size. However, budgetary pressures also had to be considered. The agreed sample size (3000) reflected an optimal balance between user requirements and budgetary priorities, and was approved by both the NIHCS Steering Group and the NIHE’s Board of Directors (the Chief Executive’s Business Committee).
Sample size implications
A sample size of 3000 allows robust analysis not only at Northern Ireland level, but also by important subgroups such as tenure, age of dwelling, type of dwelling, rural/urban. Key figures (such as unfitness and fuel poverty) for the 11 Council areas will also be available, although caution will be required if analysis by subgroup within Council area is undertaken. The robustness will depend on the achieved response rate in each area. This will be determined during the data analysis process. Cautions will be included in the report where appropriate.

Sample frame
The sample for the NIHCS is drawn from a subset of the Pointer database, which is the address database for Northern Ireland, and contains the common standard address for every property in Northern Ireland. The database is maintained by Land and Property Services (LPS) with input from local councils and Royal Mail. Every month LPS provides the Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA) with an updated version of the database. Pointer is NISRA’s preferred address database and is used as the sampling frame for the selection of addresses on Government social surveys in Northern Ireland. Detailed information about the quality assurance checks carried out on the sample frame and on the sample itself are available on the NIHE website http://www.nihe.gov.uk/nihcs_quality_assurance.pdf

Sample design
The 2016 Northern Ireland House Condition Survey (HCS) was based on a random sample of 3,000 dwellings completed in two stages.

- The first stage involved including all the full surveys completed as part of the 2011 HCS (resample: 1434 surveys). Consideration had to be given to the location of addresses because the 2011 HCS eleven council areas were approximations only, based on the grouping of existing LGDs, as boundaries were not finalised at the time of the 2011 HCS sampling. Consequently, this meant there were some sample variations in the final totals by the eleven council areas in the 2016 HCS sample.

- The second stage was a fresh random sample of 1,566 properties selected by council area to ensure that each area total (fresh and resample) added to approximately 200. In Belfast Council Area, a total of 635 households were selected (150 in North, 151 in East, 171 in South and 163 in West Belfast). In addition the Causeway Coast Council area was divided into two areas to allow for more detailed information on holiday homes in Northern Ireland.

- The fresh sample frame, in 2016, was Pointer (NISRA’s preferred database) held at the Northern Ireland Statistics and Research Agency (NISRA). This
database (Pointer) contained a subset of the computerised records for domestic residential property maintained by the LPS and had been subject to extensive quality assurance and validation since 2011.

**Additional sampling procedures**

Addresses were selected at random and no substitution of addresses was allowed. In instances where surveyors encountered a multi-dwelling address and there was no sub-number on their contact sheet, there were processes in place to ensure the correct address was selected. In the case of a fresh sample address a kish grid was used to randomly select the sub-number. In the case of a resample address the statistics team looked up the details of the previous survey in order to identify which sub number had been surveyed, and the same sub-number was surveyed in 2016. If the address was a single-dwelling address in the previous survey, but had subsequently changed to a multi-dwelling address, a kish grid was used to randomly select the sub-number.

**Confidence interval**

Sample surveys provide estimates of the population and these estimates are subject to what was traditionally known as ‘sampling error’ but is now more commonly referred to as ‘confidence interval’. This indicates to the reader the +/- range in which the reader can be ‘confident’ that the true value of the statistic is found. There is an inverse relationship between sample size and confidence interval. As the sample size increases the confidence interval decreases. In the case of the NIHCS, where comparisons are made between Areas, or between Northern Ireland and other parts of the UK, or between results of the current and previous Surveys, it is important that the confidence interval is calculated, even approximately to determine to what extent apparent differences e.g. between Councils are real, or simply the result of statistical vagaries.

It has become normal practice to estimate the confidence interval at the 95% confidence level i.e. the results would be replicated nineteen times out of twenty if the survey were repeated. The formula for sample error is:

\[
\sqrt{\frac{P(100-P)}{N-1}} \pm 1.96
\]

Where P is the percentage in question and N is the sample size in question. Where N is large, for convenience this 1 is ignored. The result of application of this formula is that the percentage error increases as the sample size is
reduced and the relative error increases when the percentage is very low or very high e.g. less than 10% or higher than 90%.

Taking an example of a sample size of 100 and where the percentage in question is 10

\[ S \text{ sample error} = \frac{10 \times 90}{\sqrt{99}} \]

\[ +/- 1.96 \] 99

\[ = +/- 5.91\% \]

Thus the percentage (10%) should be read as 10% +/- 5.91% i.e. one can only be sure that the percentage is between 4.09% and 15.91%. For 50% and a sample size of 100 the sample error would be +/- 9.85% i.e. the range would be from 41.15% to 59.85%.

The table of confidence intervals below has been calculated for an approximate achieved sample, after allowance for non-response.

**Table 1: Confidence intervals at 95% confidence level**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Size:</th>
<th>% 5 or 95</th>
<th>10 or 90</th>
<th>15 or 85</th>
<th>20 or 80</th>
<th>25 or 75</th>
<th>30 or 70</th>
<th>35 or 65</th>
<th>40 or 60</th>
<th>45 or 55</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfit</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacant</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre 1919</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Rented</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Housing</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner Occupied</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>1470</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupied</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Ireland</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 **Response rates**

The 2016 sample issued consisted of the following components.

- Resample from 2011: 1434
- Fresh Sample (Pointer): 1566
- Total Sample: 3000
1. The following table summarises the Survey outcome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey outcome 2016</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full Survey</td>
<td>2023</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No contact made</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access refused to Surveyor</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access refused at NIHE</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address untraceable</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling derelict</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwelling demolished</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No longer usable as a dwelling</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Of the 3,000 addresses issued to surveyors, full surveys were completed for 2,023 properties giving a gross response rate of 67%. However, the potential response was 2,984 (excluding not traced, derelict, demolished and no longer usable as a dwelling), giving a response rate for the physical survey of 68% (2,023 out of 2,984).

3. The response rate for the household survey was higher. Overall, 1,942 inspected dwellings were occupied and of these 1,917 household interviews were achieved, a response rate of 99%.

4. The number of vacant dwellings visited during the Survey was 81. Therefore the total number of dwellings in which a household interview would have been possible was 2,984-81=2,903. This gives a social survey response rate of 66% (1,917 interviews out of 2,903).

The following table summarises the response rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response rates 2016</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Full surveys as a % of sample</td>
<td>67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full physical surveys as a % of existing dwellings</td>
<td>68%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full social surveys as a % of inspected occupied dwellings</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full social surveys as a % of existing dwellings</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.4 Weighting and grossing

Design, calculation and validation of statistical weights for the 2016 Northern Ireland House Condition Survey

Weighting and grossing is the process whereby the information gathered by means of a sample survey is translated into figures that reflect the real world. The process has a number of stages reflecting the separate stages of the sampling process\(^\text{14}\) and the survey process itself.

In the case of the 2016 HCS there were a number of stock factors taken into account, when weighting, including building/household splits and mergers, new build and demolitions.

Survey weighting factors that were taken into account included non-response. Adjustments for non-response were based on the sample and achieved surveys and tenure (public and private). Corrections were also required for the oversample in the Causeway Coast area and to take into account, as much as possible, the boundary changes within and around the Belfast (North, South, West and East) area since 2011.

The grossing process corrected to the known external totals of the Pointer database held by NISRA.

The overall weighting and grossing strategy involved designing and calculating separate weights for the re-sample and the fresh elements of the sample.

The weights for these two elements were combined and further adjustments were made for tenure, boundary changes in and around Belfast and to the Pointer sample frame totals.

In addition, the two strands of the process (weighting and grossing) were merged into a single ‘weight’ for application to each sampled dwelling and the data held for it.

For each step of the weighting and grossing process area tables by tenure (including vacants), construction date and fitness were checked for accuracy. A final quality assurance of statistical outputs by key variables was also undertaken.

5.5 Quality information

Quality assurance (QA) checks are carried out by the producers/suppliers of the administrative data which is used to select the sample for the HCS. QA checks are also carried out at various stages of the survey by the NIHE

\(^{14}\) Refer to 5.2 for detailed information about the NIHCS sampling process
Research Unit, Building Research Establishment (BRE) and by HCS supervisors.

The NIHE has produced a document which sets out the quality assurances processes carried out at each stage of the survey. It has also produced a background quality report which shows the degree to which the NIHCS statistics meet the European Statistical System’s five dimensions of quality. Both documents are available on the NIHE website: http://www.nihe.gov.uk/index/corporate/housing_research/house_condition_survey/corporate-quality-information.htm

5.6 Strengths and weaknesses

Strengths

- The NIHCS provides statistics at national level relating to the dwelling stock, unfitness, household profiles, state of repair, and the Housing Health and Safety Rating System. When the sample size allows it also provides statistics at smaller geographical levels such as Council area.
- It is the only source of data for key government measures of housing quality such as the Decent Homes Standard, Fitness Standards, fuel poverty, energy efficiency, SAP and Repair Costs.
- All tenures and types of housing are included, for example, owner occupied and rented housing, vacant dwellings, houses in multiple occupation, apartments, urban and rural dwellings.
- The sample design and the weighting and grossing processes ensure accurate and reliable data are produced.
- The response rate has remained high over the years, reducing the effect of non-response bias. The response rate has fallen slightly in 2016 although this is consistent with the experience of most household surveys in the UK.
- The consistency of the questionnaire over time, as well as the resample element of the survey, allow changes in the condition of stock over time to be measured.
- The partnership with Building Research Establishment (BRE) ensures that the questionnaire is (as much as possible) the same as the English Housing Survey, thus enabling comparability with England, and where possible with Scotland and Wales.
- Where necessary, the questionnaire is tailored to NI conditions.
- The methodological expertise of the NIHCS Steering Group and of BRE ensures that sound methodology is used.
- Intensive training of surveyors by a combined BRE/NIHE team, monitoring by NIHCS supervisors, tablet and website validation, and validation by NIHE and BRE all help to minimise surveyor variability and reduce the possibility of measurement error.
• Thorough quality assurance processes are in place at all stages of the NIHCS to ensure that high quality data are produced: [http://www.nihe.gov.uk/index/corporate/housing_research/house_condition_survey/corporate-quality-information.htm](http://www.nihe.gov.uk/index/corporate/housing_research/house_condition_survey/corporate-quality-information.htm)

• Key NIHCS socio-demographic statistics have shown consistency over time and are similar to those emerging from other surveys in Northern Ireland eg. the Family Resources Survey, the Continuous Household Survey, and the Census.

• Regular engagement with users ensures that the statistics meet user needs.

• Before publishing statistics for any sub-groups, checks are carried out to ensure that the data are robust and users are provided with information regarding confidence intervals.

• Statistical disclosure control techniques are used to safeguard the confidentiality of respondents to the NIHCS.

• The NIHCS team upholds the principles of the Data Protection Act.

*Weaknesses*

All surveys have limitations which can be caused by a number of factors such as budget and resources. NIHE monitors any issues that could cause weaknesses in the data and take steps to address them. These are outlined below.

1. **Sample size**
   The size of the sample determines the geographical level at which analysis can be carried out. User consultation showed that many NIHCS users need results at the new Council level. In some cases because of budget driven restrictions in sample size, robustness of Council level figures are less than optimal.

   A sample size of 3000 will provide robust data at Northern Ireland level, and possibly at new Council areas for some sub-groups (depending on the achieved response rate in each area). This will be examined further once the weighting and grossing process has been completed.

   It is therefore normally not possible to carry out three-way cross tabulations eg. fuel poverty in rural areas by new Council area, as the data would not be robust enough. Requests for three-way analysis are always checked for robustness and only provided if meaningful.

   **Addressing the issue of sample size:**
   When the sample size places limitations on data analysis NIHE will investigate other ways to meet user needs eg. in 2011 when the sample size was 2000, a modelling exercise was carried out using 2011 NI Census data in
order to produce robust data for some key government measures at the new Council area level.

NIHE will also examine the possibility of recoding sub-groups in order to produce meaningful data. NIHE will inform users about the implications of the smaller sample size eg. in 2011 cells in some appendix tables contained a * rather than a number. This indicated to users that the cell contained a small number and that percentages should be used with caution.

In addition, NIHE will, where possible, provide data to users which might only be useful for indicative purposes but not of sufficient robustness for quoting or publishing. The limitations of this data will be made clear to the users.

2. Non-response to individual questions
This can impact on the quality of data, therefore NIHE has measures in place to minimise non-response in the NIHCS. Validation built into tablets, website validation, and quality assurance checks by supervisors mean that the level of non-response for most key NIHCS variables is non-existent.

Consistently there are two variables which are likely to have incomplete data. These variables relate to sensitive topics ie. income and religion. Surveyors are trained in interview techniques and encourage respondents to answer the questions by stressing the confidentiality of their personal information, the security of the data, and by explaining what the data will be used for. However people find income in particular difficult to answer and the refusal rate for this question is high. This is not unique to the NIHCS and is an issue for many surveys.

Addressing the issue of non-response to individual questions:
Procedures are in place to deal with non-response to individual questions in the NIHCS:
- built in tablet validation and ongoing monitoring and checking by NIHCS supervisors and NIHE staff
- consistency checks
- imputation work for key data items such as income. This involves using other known data items such as age, employment status, Standard Occupational Group (SOC), if there is a partner, benefit data and tenure, alongside estimated average incomes from other sources such as the NI Annual Survey of Hours and Earnings (ASHE) and the Family Resources Survey (FRS), to help impute an estimated banded income value. Imputations are cross-referenced with similar NIHCS sub-groups where average income bands have been supplied for 2016.
3 Changes to the sample frame
The overall estimate of the housing stock in Northern Ireland was provided by NISRA from the Pointer database. It is important to note that in 2010 NISRA moved to using the Northern Ireland Pointer database (previously the Valuation and Lands Agency database was used) for sampling surveys.

Addressing the issues of the changes to the sample frame: This database has been subject to ongoing quality assurance and validation and this, along with ongoing address checks, means that as new and improved address information becomes available dwelling totals across the council areas will become more reliable.

5.7 Definitions

These definitions relate to information produced in the NIHCS preliminary report.

The Fitness Standard

The current Fitness Standard is set out in Schedule 5 of the Housing (Northern Ireland) Order 1992. This schedule states that a dwelling is unfit for human habitation if it fails to meet one or more of the following requirements:

• It is structurally stable.
• It is free from serious disrepair.
• It is free from dampness prejudicial to the health of the occupants (if any).
• It has adequate provision for heating, lighting and ventilation.
• It has an adequate supply of wholesome water.
• There are satisfactory facilities in the house for the preparation and cooking of food, including a sink with a satisfactory supply of hot and cold water.
• It has a suitably located water closet for the exclusive use of the occupants (if any).
• It has, for the exclusive use of the occupants (if any), a suitably located fixed bath or shower and wash-hand basin, each of which is provided with a satisfactory supply of hot and cold water.
• It has an effective system for the draining of foul, waste and surface water.

In addition, flats may be classified as unfit if the building or part of the building outside of the flat fails to meet any of the following requirements and by reason of that failure is not suitable for occupation:

The building or part is structurally unstable.
• It is free from serious disrepair.
• It is free from dampness.
• It has adequate provision for ventilation.
• It has an effective system for the draining of foul, surface and waste water.

Tenure

Definitions of tenure types are outlined in the NIHCS surveyor manual, and are broadly comparable with those used in the English Housing Survey.

---

15 Although NISRA moved to the Pointer database in 2010, the sample for the 2011 HCS was drawn before any QA and validation was carried out on the database.
a) *owner occupied* - includes outright owners, those buying with a mortgage and shared owners (people who are part renting and part buying their homes from the Northern Ireland Co-Ownership Housing Association). Includes anyone who is buying their home from a housing association or from the Housing Executive. Note: the Housing Executive has now sold more than 100,000 dwellings.

b) *private rented and others* - rented from a private landlord, private company, other organisation, relative or friend. Includes tied accommodation and any ‘other’ type of accommodation not covered by the standard tenure types.

c) *Housing Executive* - rented from the NIHE.

d) *Housing association* - rented from a housing association, including co-operatives and housing charitable trusts.
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